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ABSTRACT

In this paper, a steady and laminar flow of ferrofluid (Fe₃O₄/Water) in a uniformly heated tube under the effect of non-uniform magnetic field arranged periodically along the tube is numerically analyzed using the finite volume method. The analysis sheds light on the flow pattern, Nusselt number, friction factor and the Performance Evaluation Criterion, at laminar flow regime (10² ≤ Re ≤ 10⁵) and for various magnetic numbers (Mn = 0, 2.83 · 10⁴, 6.37 · 10⁴ and 1.13 · 10⁵). The obtained results show that the magnetic field generated by periodic arrangement of the wire pitches, forces the flow to behave periodically as a result of the counter-rotating vortices and its periodic fluctuations due the Kelvin body force distribution. As a consequence, the Nusselt number increased by up to 8 % comparing to the cases without magnetic field. Also, a noticeable low increment for the friction factor is noticed (0.5 %).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Convective heat transfer has noteworthy importance in many industrial applications, e.g., power plants, chemical processes or cooling systems. Many studies have been carried out to enhance the convective heat transfer in order to downsize the equipment, but also to reduce the power consumption. Mainly, the used techniques are grouped into two major methods (Alam and Kim, 2018): passive and active techniques. Passive techniques do not employ any external power, e.g., adding fins (Benaberrahmane et al., 2016), adding baffles (Boonloi and Jedsadaratamachai, 2021) or dispersing nano particles in the heat transfer fluid (Poplaski et al., 2017). On the other hand, active methods employ an external source of energy, some examples are rotating tubes (Abotsi and Kizito, 2020) or pulsating flows (Muñoz-Cámara et al., 2021).

The employment of the magnetic field in many engineering applications shows an upward trend, such as the magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) applications like in the nuclear reactors and the metallurgy sector (Khan et al., 2020). Likewise, the ferrohydrodynamics (FHD) applications are now witnessing an increased interest, in this context, the ferrofluids are attracting the researchers as promising heat transfer fluids (HTF), due to the enhancement of the base fluid thermal properties (thermal conductivity) and the flow controllability by applying magnetic forces (Yamaguchi, 2008). This compound technique combines a passive method (adding nanoparticles to a base fluid) and active method (applying an external magnetic field). A typical ferrofluid is, for example, a base fluid (such as oil or water) with added nano-sized ferromagnetic particles like Fe₃O₄ or Fe₂O₃/NiO (Shahsavari et al., 2016, Soltanipour et al., 2020). Regarding the magnetic field, it can be applied by many different techniques: magnets (Shi et al., 2020), a uniform magnetic field generated by an electromagnet (Bezaatpour and Goharkhah, 2020), a magnetic dipole (Ramzan et al., 2021), gradient magnetic field (Mousavi et al., 2020) or non-uniform magnetic field created by a current carrying wire (Aminfar et al., 2013).

Several studies have been carried out by different research groups to characterize the ferrofluid flow in ducts with a magnetic field generated by a current-carrying wire. Shakiba and Vahedi, 2016 tested numerically the effect of a non-uniform magnetic field (MF) generated by a straight current-carrying wire on the flow of ferrofluid in the inner tube of a double pipe heat exchanger. They reported that the force (Kelvin body force) produced by the interaction between the MF and the ferrofluid generated secondary flows that boosted the convective heat transfer in the heat exchanger. Another study by Larimi et al., 2016 investigated numerically the influence of the MF generated via multiple wires parallels to each other and perpendicular to the ferrofluid flow in a ribbed channel for laminar flow conditions and various wire configurations. It was found that the presence of the MF increases the Nusselt number (especially for low Reynolds numbers), but also the pressure drop. The maximum increase was observed for the arrangement of all wires under the channel. Yousefi et al., 2021 analysed numerically the effect of the location and the number of straight current-carrying wires on flow pattern and the thermal-hydraulic characteristics of ferrofluid laminar flow in a flattened tube. The study concluded that the secondary flows generated by the MF improved the heat transfer coefficient and increased the pressure drop. In addition, the position of the wires plays a major role in the number and
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the size of the vortices generated. Moreover, the configuration with two parallel wires placed at the top and bottom parts of the tube (flat sides of the tube) provided the highest heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop. Soltanipour et al., 2020 introduced a curved current-carrying wire as new configuration, the MF generated by this wire was applied on a ferrofluid flow in a curved pipe for various circumferential angles. The authors stated that the position, as well as the MF intensity, has an important impact on the convective heat transfer enhancement. In addition, the optimal wire angle depends on the MF intensity.

Most of the cited works analyzed the effect of the non-uniform magnetic field produced by a straight current-carrying wire on ferrofluid flows in different ducts and agreed on the potential of this technique to enhance the convective heat transfer significantly. However, the studies about the effect of the MF generated by a wire arrangement different from the widely studied straight wire are almost non-existent.

The present study sheds light on the effect of the magnetic field generated by a novel current-carrying wire setup on the thermal-hydraulic characteristics of ferrofluid flow in a smooth tube under uniform heat flux conditions. The wire is arranged so it periodically modifies its position along the tube. The study is performed numerically using ANSYS® FLUENT, 2016, for several cases under laminar flow conditions, $10^3 \leq Re \leq 10^7$, and Magnetic numbers varying from $Mn = 0$ to $Mn = 1.13 \cdot 10^7$.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

### 2.1. Problem description

Fig.1 illustrates the three dimensional scheme of the studied tube, which is characterized by its diameter, $D=0.066$ m, and total length, $L=3$ m.

The ferrofluid $Fe_3O_4$ in water (with spherical particles with diameter $d_p=10$ nm and a volume fraction $\phi = 4\%$) flows in the tube in the $z$ direction, with a uniform temperature $T = T_{in}$ and uniform velocity $V = V_{avg}$ at the inlet. The tube outer wall is exposed to a uniform heat flux, $q''_i$.

To generate the magnetic field, the tube is equipped with a current-carrying wire with a periodic configuration, characterized by the pitch length, $l$, and the angle, $\theta$, (see Fig.1). The fluid flow is modified by the magnetic field generated by the electrical current flowing through the current-carrying wire, $I$. Only the MF generated by the wires parallel to the tube is taken into consideration, neglecting the connections between wire pitches.

Fig. 1 3D model of the tube, the periodic current-carrying wire setup and pitch definition.

### 2.2. Governing equations

The single phase model is selected for this numerical investigation. The ferrofluid flow is considered to be laminar and steady, assuming that $Fe_3O_4$ nanoparticles and water (base fluid) are in thermal equilibrium to each other and no slip velocity can be applied between them. Also, the ferrofluid is assumed to be homogeneous, Newtonian and incompressible.

In the presence of the MF, the electromagnetic force (Lorentz force) and magnetization force (Kelvin force) appear as resulting forces, representing the magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) and the ferrohydrodynamics (FHD) effects. Due to the negligible value of the electrical conductivity of the ferrofluid, the MHD terms are neglected from the governing equations in this study, as well as the magneto-caloric effect in the energy equation (Soltanipour et al., 2020).

By considering the previous assumptions, the governing equations (continuity, momentum and energy equations) are simplified (Neuringer and Rosenweig, 1964, Shakiba and Vahedi, 2016, Sheikholeslami et al., 2018):

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho_f \vec{V}) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\rho_f (\vec{V} \cdot \nabla \vec{V}) = -\nabla p + \mu_f \nabla^2 \vec{V} + \vec{F}_k \quad (2)$$

$$\vec{V} \nabla T = \frac{k_f}{\rho_f C_p,f} \Delta T \quad (3)$$

The term $\vec{F}_k$ in the momentum equation (Eq. 2) represents the Kelvin force, where:

$$\vec{F}_k = \mu_0 (\vec{M} \cdot \nabla) \vec{H} \quad (4)$$

The following terms (Eq. (5) and (6)) represent the components of the force in the $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively:

$$F_k(x) = \mu_0 M \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \quad (5)$$

$$F_k(y) = \mu_0 M \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \quad (6)$$

$H$ refers to the magnetic field intensity modulus and $M$ represents the magnetization, which is given as (Mousavi et al., 2020):

$$M = \frac{6\pi m_p}{\pi d_p^3} \left( \cot h(\xi) - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \quad (7)$$

where $m_p$ is the magnetic momentum (Eq. (8)) and $\xi$ represents the Langevin parameter (Eq. (9)).

$$m_p = \frac{4\mu B \pi d_p^3}{6 \times 91.25 \times 10^{-36}} \quad (8)$$

$$\xi = \frac{m_pm_B H}{k_BT} \quad (9)$$

where Bohr’s magneton $\mu_B=9.27 \times 10^{-24}$ A·m² and Boltzmann constant $K_B=1.3806503 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K.

### 2.3. Thermo-physical properties calculation

The thermo-physical properties of the ferrofluid are calculated using the thermo-physical properties of $Fe_3O_4$ nanoparticles and water (Table 1), via the following equations (Goharkhah and Ashjaee, 2014):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Water (base fluid)</th>
<th>$Fe_3O_4$ (nanoparticles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>5200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$ (J/kg·K)</td>
<td>4001</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$ (W/m·K)</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$ (kg/m·s)</td>
<td>0.00108</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ferrofluid density:
The apparent Darcy’s friction factor is calculated according to its definition: 

\[
\frac{\rho_f}{\rho_p} = 1 - \varphi \left(1 - \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_p} \right)
\]  

(10)

Ferrofluid specific heat:

\[
c_{p,f} = \varphi c_{p,p} + (1 - \varphi)c_{p,b}
\]

(11)

Ferrofluid dynamic viscosity:

\[
\mu_f = (1 + 2.5\varphi)\mu_b
\]

(12)

Ferrofluid thermal conductivity:

\[
k_f = \left[ \frac{\varphi k_p + (n - 1)k_b - (n - 1)\varphi(k_b - k_p)}{\varphi k_p + (n - 1)k_b - \varphi(k_b - k_p)} \right] k_b
\]

(13)

where the empirical shape factor of the nanoparticles is taken as \(n=3\) (spherical particles) (Shakiba and Vahedi, 2016).

2.4. Numerical solution procedure and boundary conditions

To solve the governing equations, the finite volume method is adopted using a commercial Computational Fluid Dynamics code ANSYS® FLUENT, 2016. SIMPLEC algorithm is selected and the simulation is performed in parallel mode using high performance computing hardware. The Kelvin body force components are implemented in the momentum equations like source terms (Eqs. (5) and (6) for \(x\) and \(y\) directions, respectively) using a user defined function (UDF). To ensure the quality of the results and as convergence criterion, the residuals are maintained below \(10^{-6}\) for the continuity and momentum equations and \(10^{-9}\) for the energy equation.

Regarding the applied boundary conditions, a uniform temperature \(T_i = 300\) K and velocity \(V_{avg}\) (where, \(10^2 \leq Re \leq 10^5\)) are set at the inlet, a uniform heat flux \(q'' = 2000\) W/m² is applied on the tube wall, while the outlet pressure is maintained as zero.

2.5. Data reduction

The apparent Darcy’s friction factor is calculated according to its definition:

\[
f = \frac{2\Delta P}{\rho_f V_f^2} \left( \frac{D}{L} \right)
\]

(14)

where \(\Delta P\) is the static pressure difference between the tube inlet and outlet, and \(L\) is the total length of the tube.

The average Nusselt number is calculated using Eq. (15) taking into consideration the axial variation of the heat transfer coefficient in the studied control volume.

\[
Nu = \frac{h_{avg} D}{k}
\]

(15)

The peripherally average heat convection coefficient, for each axial position, is calculated by:

\[
\bar{h}(x) = \frac{q''}{\bar{T}_w(x) - \bar{T}_b(x)}
\]

(16)

where \(\bar{T}_w(x)\) is the peripheral average of wall temperature at \(x\), the axial position:

\[
\bar{T}_w(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{nodes}} T_i(x)}{n_{nodes}} \quad \forall i : r_i = D/2
\]

(17)

and \(T_i(x)\) refers for the bulk temperature defined in Çengel and Ghajar, 2015, at the axial position \(x\):

\[
T_b(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{nodes}} \rho_i c_{p,i} T_{i}(x) U_i(x) A_i}{\rho \bar{c}_p}
\]

(18)

The Reynolds number is calculated as:

\[
Re = \frac{\varphi V D}{\mu}
\]

(19)

The Prandtl number:

\[
Pr = \frac{\mu c_p}{k}
\]

(20)

The Performance Evaluation Criterion (Aghamiri et al., 2021) is computed as:

\[
PEC = \frac{(Nu/Nu_s)}{(f/f_s)^{1/3}}
\]

(21)

For this study, another dimensionless number should be introduced to quantify the effect of the MF strength on the flow behaviour. The definition for the Magnetic number proposed by Soltanipour et al., 2020 is used:

\[
Mn = \frac{\mu_s \chi H_r^2 D^2}{\rho_f V_f^2}
\]

(22)

where, \(\chi\) is the magnetic susceptibility (for this study \(\chi = 0.34859\) and \(H_r\) is the characteristic magnetic field (the magnetic field calculated at the tube wall point), and \(d\) is the distance between the current-carrying wire and the tube wall:

\[
H_r = \frac{I}{2\pi d}
\]

(23)

Although the position variation of the wire, \(H_r\) is constant along the axial direction, because the distance \(d\) is kept constant.

2.6. Mesh and model validation

To ensure the mesh independency, three different meshes, coarse mesh (1080000 elements), fine mesh (1948800 elements) and a very fine mesh (4377600 elements), were selected to perform a sensitivity study where the dimensionless axial velocity was used for the comparison. The three meshes were tested for ferrofluid flow in absence of the magnetic field at \(Re = 100\). As it can be noticed in Fig.2, the obtained results for the fine mesh (Mesh #2) and the very fine mesh (Mesh #3) are identical while there is a deviation of 0.1% between them and the coarse mesh (Mesh #1). Accordingly, the fine mesh was selected for its accuracy and to save the calculation time. The selected mesh is shown in Fig.3.

![Fig. 2 Mesh independence study in term of dimensionless axial velocity for Re = 100 at the position z/D = 30.3.](image_url)
In order to validate the numerical procedure and to check the accuracy of the results, the local Nusselt number for pure water is compared to the results obtained from known correlations (Churchill and Ozoe, 1973) for two different Reynolds numbers, \( Re = 100 \) and \( Re = 1000 \) in absence of the MF. The trends are plotted in Fig. 4.

There is a good agreement between the results from the simulations and those calculated by the correlation, especially for the lower Reynolds number case. However, for the \( Re = 1000 \) case a deviation lower than 18.6\% is noticed at the region located between \( z/D = 0 \) and \( z/D = 20 \).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Characteristics of the flow

To study the effect of the magnetic field on the ferrofluid flow, the axial velocity at the tube axis is measured along the tube length. The results for the case without magnetic field and for three different Magnetic numbers are shown in Fig. 5.

For the case without magnetic field, there is an initial flow development from \( V_z = V_{avg} \) (uniform velocity profile at the inlet) to \( V_z = 2 \cdot V_{avg} \), corresponding to the theoretical solution for a fully-developed laminar flow (Hagen–Poiseuille law). The theoretical value of the developing length is around \( 5 \cdot D \) (for \( Re = 100 \)), close to the value where the axial velocity reaches a steady value.

When an external magnetic field is imposed, the velocity follows a periodic behaviour over the general trend of the case without magnetic field. The spatial periodicity of the velocity matches the length of the wire pitch, \( l = 3 \cdot D \).

A zoom for one of the pitches (Fig. 5) shows that the central axial velocity approaches a lower steady value, but the change of the wire position leads to a sharp increase of the axial velocity. This pattern repeats for each wire pitch, because the wire position at each pitch is symmetric with respect to the tube center. The magnitude of the fluctuations is observed to be higher at higher Magnetic numbers.

For a better understanding of the velocity behaviour, the axial velocity contours for three different axial positions, \( z/D = 37.1, 41.1 \) and \( 43.2 \) are shown in Fig. 6, which correspond to three different consecutive wire pitches. The axial contours do not show any changes for the case with no magnetic field because the flow is hydrodynamically developed. However, for the periodic wire with magnetic field, the fluid is attracted towards the wire, deforming the high velocity region towards the wire and, at the same time, decreasing the axial velocity at the tube center as was shown in Fig. 5. When the wire changes its position, the flow has to redevelop again to be adapted to the new magnetic force applied to the fluid, reaching again a pattern which is symmetric to the previous pitch.

Fig. 7 a-b show the three dimensional streamlines along the tube for \( Re = 100 \). As expected, in the absence of magnetic field the flow streamlines are straight (see Fig. 7a). On the other hand, the application of the magnetic field (\( Mn = 1.13 \cdot 10^5 \)) generates swirled flows (Fig. 7b).

Furthermore, the visualized transversal streamlines in Fig. 7c show the generation of secondary flows forming counter-rotating vortices due to Kelvin body forces. In addition, and as it can be noticed in the same figure, the vortices convergence point varies depending on the wire pitch position. However, these vortices intensify the transversal motion of the fluid from the tube wall toward the tube center.
3.2. Thermo-hydraulic results

Three different Reynolds numbers covering the laminar region, \( Re = 100, 500 \) and \( 1000 \) are simulated for four Magnetic numbers, ranging from \( 0 \) to \( 1.13 \cdot 10^5 \). The Nusselt number and the increase in comparison to the case without magnetic field are included in Fig.8.

As can be seen, the magnetic field applied has a low influence for the two highest Reynolds numbers, while there is a significant enhancement, \( 8\% \), for the lowest Reynolds number, \( Re = 100 \). This can be justified by the smallest weight of the magnetic forces when the inertial forces are increased (higher Reynolds number). This limits the potential interest of this application to relatively low Reynolds numbers, unless the electrical currents or the fraction of ferroparticles is significantly increased.

![Fig. 6 Velocity contours at Re=100, (a) \( Mn = 0 \) (b) \( Mn = 1.13 \cdot 10^5 \).](image1)

![Fig. 7 The three dimensional streamlines, (a) \( Mn = 0 \), (b) \( Mn = 1.13 \cdot 10^5 \), (c) Transversal streamlines in presence of magnetic field \( Mn = 1.13 \cdot 10^5 \).](image2)

![Fig. 8 Effect of magnetic field increment on the average Nusselt number for different Reynolds numbers.](image3)
The periodic wire introduces a significant enhancement, greater than a 5%, at the lowest Reynolds number tested, $Re = 100$, and for Magnetic numbers higher than $8 \times 10^4$.

It should be noticed that the tube length tested, $L = 45 \cdot D$, implies that the flow is thermally developing along all the tube for relatively low Reynolds numbers, $Re \approx 130$; while the periodic wire heat transfer enhancement is only noticeable in the developed region. Thus, a higher enhancement could be expected for longer tubes.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions from this study are:

1. The magnetic field generated by the periodic wire has a negligible effect on the velocity behaviour along the hydrodynamically developing region, while it generates a noticeable periodic fluctuation on the flow pattern once the flow would have reached a steady solution.

2. The maximum increase observed in the Nusselt number is 8 % for $Re = 100$, in comparison to the same smooth tube without magnetic field.

3. There is a low increase in the friction factor, lower than 0.5 %, for all the tested cases.

4. The use of the periodic wire is recommended for tubes working on the laminar region with a significant portion of the tube length under fully-developed flow conditions, i.e., low Reynolds numbers or long tubes.
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NOMENCLATURE

- $c_p$: specific heat (J/kg K)
- $D$: tube diameter (m)
- $D_e$: absorber tube outer diameter (m)
- $d_p$: particle diameter (m)
- $H$: magnetic field intensity vector modulus (A/m)
- $H_r$: characteristic magnetic field intensity (A/m)
- $h$: heat transfer coefficient (W/m$^2$ K)
- $I$: electric current (A)
- $k$: thermal conductivity (W/m K)
- $K$: Boltzmann constant (J/K)
- $L$: tube length (m)
- $l$: wire pitch length (m)
- $M$: magnetization (A/m)
- $m_p$: particle magnetic moment (A m$^2$)
- $P$: pressure (Pa)
- $q''$: heat flux (W/m$^2$)
- $T$: temperature (K)
- $V_{avg}$: mean axial velocity (m/s)
- $x,y,z$: directions (m)

Greek Symbols

- $\alpha_f$: thermal diffusivity (m$^2$/s)
- $\varphi$: particle volume fraction
- $\xi$: Langevin parameter
- $\mu$: dynamic viscosity (Pa s)
- $\mu_0$: vacuum permeability (T m/A)
- $\mu_B$: Bohr’s magneton (A m$^2$)
- $\nu$: kinematic viscosity (m$^2$/s)
- $\rho$: density (kg/m$^3$)
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